Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences 4(1): 17-30 (2010)

## On Double Sumudu Transform and Double Laplace Transform

## Hassan Eltayeb and Adem Kiliçman

Institute for Mathematical Research and Department of Mathematics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia E-mail: eltayeb@putra.upm.edu.my, akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my

# ABSTRACT

In this study, first of all, we consider wave equations with constant coefficients. By using convolution we then produce a new equation with variable coefficients. Finally, we apply two techniques: double Laplace transform and double Sumudu transform to solve the new wave equation with non-constant coefficients and establish a relationship between double Sumudu transform and double Laplace transform.

Keywords: Double Laplace transform, single Laplace transform, double Sumudu transform and convolution theorem.

## INTRODUCTION

The wave equation is known as one of fundamental equations in mathematical physics and occurs in many branches of physics, in applied mathematics as well as in engineering. It is also known that there are two types of these equations; The homogenous equation with constant coefficients has many classical solutions such as separation of variables (Lamb,1995), the methods of characteristics (Myint, 1980 and Constanda, 2002), single Laplace transform and Fourier transform (Duffy, 2004) and non-homogenous equations with constant coefficient which is solved by double Laplace transform (Babakhani and Dahiya, 2001) and operation calculus (Brychkov *et al.*, 1992).

In this study we use double Laplace transform and double Sumudu transform to solve non homogenous wave equation with non-constant coefficient where the non homogenous terms are double convolution functions. In this study we follow the method that was proposed by A. Kiliçman and H. Eltayeb (Kilicman and Eltayeb, 2008) and (Estrin and Higgins, 1951) where they extended one dimensional convolution theorem to two dimensional case.

First of all we recall the following definitions which were given by Tchuenche and Nyimvua (Tchuenche and Nyimvua, 2007).

## **Definition 1:**

The double Sumudu transform is defined by

$$F(u,v) = S_2[f(t,x);(u,v)] = \frac{1}{uv} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{t}{v} + \frac{x}{u})} f(t,x) dt dx$$

and the double Sumudu transform of second partial derivative with respect to x is of form

$$S_{2}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} f(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}};(u,v)\right] = \frac{1}{uv} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{v}+\frac{x}{u}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} f(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} dt dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{v} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t}{v}} \left(\frac{1}{u} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{u}} \frac{\partial^{2} f(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} dx\right) dt.$$

The integral inside the bracket can be computed as

$$\frac{1}{u}\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{u}} \frac{\partial^{2} f(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} dx = \frac{1}{u^{2}} F(u,t) - \frac{1}{u^{2}} f(t,0) - \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial f(0,t)}{\partial x}.$$
(1)

By taking Sumudu transform with respect to t for equation (1), we get double Sumudu transform in the form of

$$S_2\left[\frac{\partial^2 f(x,t)}{\partial x^2};(u,v)\right] = \frac{1}{u^2}F(u,v) - \frac{1}{u^2}F(0,v) - \frac{1}{u}\frac{\partial F(0,v)}{\partial x}.$$
(2)

Similarly, the double Sumudu transform of  $\frac{\partial^2 f(t,x)}{\partial t^2}$  is given by

$$S\left[\frac{\partial^2 f(x,t)}{\partial t^2};(u,v)\right] = \frac{1}{v^2}F(u,v) - \frac{1}{v^2}F(u,0) - \frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial f(u,0)}{\partial t}$$
(3)

and Estrin and Higgins (Estrin and Higgins, 1951) defined double Laplace transform by

Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences

18

On Double Sumudu Transform and Double Laplace Transform

$$L_{x}L_{t}[f(x,t);(p,s)] = F(p,s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-px} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} f(x,t) dt dx$$

where x, t > 0 and p, s complex value and defined the first order partial derivative as  $L_x L_t \left[ \frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial x}; (p,s) \right] = pF(p,s) - F(0,s).$ 

The double Laplace transform for second partial derivative with respect to x given by

$$L_{x}L_{t}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}f(x,t)}{\partial^{2}x};(p,s)\right] = p^{2}F(p,s) - pF(0,s) - \frac{\partial F(0,s)}{\partial x}$$

and double Laplace transform for second partial derivative with respect to t similarly as above given by

$$L_{x}L_{t}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}f(x,t)}{\partial^{2}t};(p,s)\right] = s^{2}F(p,s) - sF(p,0) - \frac{\partial F(p,0)}{\partial t}.$$

In a similar manner the double Laplace transform of a mixed partial derivative can be deduced from the single Laplace transform as

$$L_{x}L_{t}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}f(x,t)}{\partial x\partial t};(p,s)\right] = psF(p,s) - pF(p,0) - sF(0,s) - F(0,0).$$

The double Sumudu transform and double Laplace transform having strong relation (see Tchuenche and Nyimvua, 2007.) may be expressed either as

(I) 
$$uvF(u,v) = \pounds_2\left(f(x,y); \left(\frac{1}{u}, \frac{1}{v}\right)\right)$$

or

(II) 
$$psF(p,s) = \pounds_2\left(f(x,y); \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{s}\right)\right),$$

where  $\pounds_2$  represents the operation of double Laplace transform. In particular, this relation is best illustrated by the fact that the double Sumudu and double Laplace transform interchange the image of  $\sin(x+t)$  and  $\cos(x+t)$  that are

Hassan Eltayeb & Adem Kilicman

$$S_2[\sin(x+t)] = \pounds_2[\cos(x+t)] = \frac{u+v}{(1+u)^2(1+v)^2},$$

and

$$S_2[\cos(x+t)] = \pounds_2[\sin(x+t)] = \frac{1}{(1+u)^2(1+v)^2} .$$

We note that the relation between double Sumudu of convolution and double Laplace transform of convolution is

$$S_2[(f * *g)(t, x); (u, v)] = \frac{1}{uv} \pounds_2(f * *g)(t, x)),$$

where

$$F_1(x, y) * *F_2(x, y) = \int_0^y \int_0^x F_1(x - \theta_1, y - \theta_2) F_2(\theta_1, \theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2.$$

# WAVE EQUATION IN ONE DIMENSIONAL SPACE AND DOUBLE LAPLACE TRANSFORM AND DOUBLE SUMUDU TRANSFORM

Consider non-homogenous one dimensional wave equation with nonconstant coefficient in the form

$$p(x,t) * * [u_{tt} - u_{xx}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * * g_i(x,t)$$
(4)

where the conditions

$$u(0,t) = \overline{g}_{1}(t), \ u(x,0) = h_{1}(x)$$

$$u_{x}(0,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{g}_{1}(t), \ u_{t}(x,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{1}(x),$$
(5)

where p(x,t) and  $g_i(x,t)$  are polynomials.

Now we let F(x,t) be a solution of

$$u_{tt}(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t) \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$$
(6)

#### On Double Sumudu Transform and Double Laplace Transform

and further we consider K(x, t) as a solution of

$$p(x,t) * * (u_{tt}(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t) \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$$
(7)

then F(x,t) satisfies equation (6):

$$F_{tt}(x,t) - F_{xx}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t)$$
(8)

and similarly, K(x, t) satisfies equation (8):

$$K_{tt}(x,t) - K_{xx}(x,t) = \frac{1}{i!\,j!} f(x,t) \,. \tag{9}$$

Now, we can easily check that the convolution F(x,t) \* K(x,t) is not a solution of (6). Indeed from (6) it follows that

$$\left(F(x,t) * K(x,t)\right)_{tt} - \left(F(x,t) * K(x,t)\right)_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t)$$
(10)

on using the partial derivatives of the convolution; thus the left hand side of equation (10) gives

$$F_{tt}(x,t) * K(x,t) - F_{xx}(x,t) * K(x,t) = F(x,t) * K_{tt}(x,t) - F(x,t) * K_{xx}(x,t)$$

and then equation (10) can be written in the form

$$F(x,t) * * \left[ K_{tt}(x,t) - K_{xx}(x,t) \right]^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * *g_{i}(x,t)$$
(11)

and

$$\left[F_{tt}(x,t) - F_{xx}(x,t)\right] * K(x,t) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_{i}(x,t)$$
(12)

by substituting equation (7) into (9) and equation (6) into (10) we have

$$F(x,t) * * \frac{1}{i!j!} f(x,t) \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * *g_i(x,t)$$
(13)

and

$$f(x,t) * K(x,t) \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t)$$
(14)

and thus we can easily see from the equations (13) and (14) that the convolution F(x,t)\*\*K(x,t) is not a solution of equation (6). In general, however, it is a solution for another type of equation as given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1**: If F(x,t) is a solution of

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t)$$
(15)

under the initial condition

$$u(0,t) = \overline{g}_1(t), \ u(x,0) = h_1(x)$$
$$u_x(0,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{g}_1(t), \ u_t(x,0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_1(x),$$

and K(x,t) is a solution of

$$p(x,t) * * (u_{tt} - u_{xx}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t) \qquad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$$
(16)

under the same conditions, then F(x,t) \* K(x,t) is a solution of the following equation

$$u_{tt}(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t) - h(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_{i}(x,t) \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}$$
(17)

where f(x,t) is an exponential function and p(x,t) is a polynomial.

**Proof**: Since F(x,t) is a solution of equation (15) then

$$F_{tt}(x,t) - F_{xx}(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t)$$
(18)

holds and K(x,t) is a solution of equation (16) then

$$K_{tt}(x,t) - K_{xx}(x,t) = \frac{1}{i!j!} f(x,t)$$
(19)

is also true and then by substitution what we have

$$\left(F(x,t) * K(x,t)\right)_{tt} - \left(F(x,t) * K(x,t)\right)_{xx} - h(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t), \quad (20)$$

on using the partial derivative of convolution, we obtain

$$F_{tt}(x,t) * K(x,t) - F_{xx}(x,t) * K(x,t) = F(x,t) * K_{tt}(x,t) - F(x,t) * K_{xx}(x,t)$$

and then equation (20) is followed by

$$\left[F_{tt}(x,t) - F_{xx}(x,t)\right] * K(x,t) - h(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_{i}(x,t)$$
(21)

by substituting equation (18) into (21) we have

$$f(x,t) * K(x,t) - h(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x,t) * g_i(x,t)$$
(22)

this shows that the convolution F(x,t) \* K(x,t) is a solution of equation (17).

In the next two examples we apply double Laplace transform and double Sumudu transform for wave equation. We compare the solution of one dimensional wave equation having constant and non constant coefficients by using two techniques.

**Example**: Consider the one dimensional wave equation in the form

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = e^{x+t} * xt^{2} + e^{x+t} * x^{2}t^{3} \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}$$

$$u(x,0) = xe^{x}, \quad u_{t}(x,0) = xe^{x} + e^{x} \qquad (23)$$

$$u(0,t) = te^{t}, \quad u_{x}(0,t) = te^{t} + e^{t}$$

by taking double Laplace Transform and single Laplace Transform for equation (23), we obtain

Hassan Eltayeb & Adem Kilicman

$$U(p,s) = \frac{s}{(p-1)^{2}(s^{2}-p^{2})} + \frac{p}{(p-1)^{2}(s^{2}-p^{2})} - \frac{p}{(s-1)^{2}(s^{2}-p^{2})} - \frac{s}{(s-1)^{2}(s^{2}-p^{2})} + \frac{2}{p^{2}s^{3}(p-1)(s-1)(s^{2}-p^{2})} + \frac{12}{p^{3}s^{4}(p-1)(s-1)(s^{2}-p^{2})}.$$
(24)

Now by taking double inverse Laplace transform with respect to s, p for equation (24), we obtain the solution of equation (23) in the form

$$u(x,t) = 26 + \frac{13}{12}t^4 + 14xt + \frac{5}{2}e^{-t+x} + 6x^2 - 6e^tx^2 - 14e^tx + 7e^{t+x}t + 2e^xt^3$$
  
+7e^xt^2 + 26e^xt + x^2t^3 + 7xt^2 + \frac{7}{3}t^3x - \frac{61}{2}e^{t+x} + 13t^2 + \frac{13}{3}t^3  
+  $\frac{1}{420}t^7 + \frac{1}{20}t^5x^2 + \frac{1}{10}t^5x + 28e^x + \frac{7}{12}t^4x + 26t + 14x$   
+  $\frac{1}{5}t^5 + 3x^2t^2 + 6x^2t + \frac{1}{60}t^6 - 26e^t + \frac{1}{4}t^4x^2.$ 

Now, we multiply the left hand side equation of (23) by non-constant coefficient  $x^3t^4 **$  where the symbol \*\* means a double convolution with respect to x and t respectively, and apply the same technique that we used above then we get the solution in the form of

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{32}e^{t+x} - \frac{5}{288}e^{-t+x} + \frac{7}{144}e^{t+x}t \quad .$$
(25)

If we take second derivatives with respect to t and x for equation (25), and taking the difference between them and multiply the result by  $x^3t^4 **$ , we obtain the non-homogenous term plus a function h(x,t). That is

$$(x^{3}t^{4}) * * (v_{tt} - v_{xx}) = (u_{tt} - u_{xx}) + h(x,t).$$

In the next Theorem we discuss the Sumudu transform of double convolution as follows.

**Theorem** 2: Let f(x,t) and g(x,t) be double Sumudu transformable. Then double Sumudu transform of the double convolution of the f(x,t) and g(x,t) exists

$$(f * g)(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{x} f(\zeta,\eta) g(x-\zeta,t-\eta) d\zeta d\eta$$

and is given by

$$S_{2}[(f * *g)(x,t);(u,v)] = uvF(u,v)G(u,v).$$

**Proof**: By using the definition of double Sumudu transform and double convolution, we have

$$S_{2}[(f * *g)(x,t);(u,v)] = \frac{1}{uv} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\frac{t-x}{v})} (f * *g)(t,x) dt dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{uv} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\frac{t-x}{v})} \left( \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{x} f(\zeta,\eta) g(x-\zeta,t-\eta) d\zeta d\eta \right) dt dx.$$

Let  $\alpha = x - \zeta$  and  $\beta = t - \eta$  and using the valid extension of upper bound of integrals to  $t \to \infty$  and  $x \to \infty$ , it yields

$$S_{2}\left[(f \ast g)(x,t);(u,v)\right] = \frac{1}{uv} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{\zeta}{v}+\frac{\eta}{u}\right)} f(x-\alpha,t-\beta,)d\zeta d\eta \int_{-\zeta}^{\infty} \int_{-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{v}+\frac{\beta}{u}\right)} g(\alpha,\beta)d\alpha d\beta$$

where both function f(x,t) and g(x,t) have zero value for t < 0, and x < 0, and it follows with respect to lower limit of integrations that

$$S_2\left[(f * g)(t, x); v, u\right] = \frac{1}{uv} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\zeta, \eta) e^{-\left(\frac{\zeta}{v} - u\right)} d\zeta d\eta \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{v} - \frac{\beta}{u}\right)} g(\alpha, \beta) d\alpha d\beta,$$

then, it is easy to see that

$$S_2[(f **g)(t,x);(u,v)] = uvF(v,u)G(v,u).$$

In particular, consider the functions  $f(x,t) = \sin(x+t)$  and  $g(x,t) = \cos(x+t)$ . We can easily prove that

 $S_2[(f * *g)(t, x); (u, v)] = uvF(v, u)G(v, u).$ 

The left hand side of the above equation is given by

$$S_{2}[(\sin(x+t)*\cos(x+t))(t,x);(u,v)] = \frac{-vu(-v+vu^{2}-u+v^{2}u)}{(1+v^{2})^{2}(1+u^{2})^{2}}$$
(26)

and the right hand side is given by

$$uvF(v,u)G(v,u) = uv\left[\frac{(v+u)}{(1+v^2)(1+u^2)}\right]\left[-\frac{(-1+uv)}{(1+v^2)(1+u^2)}\right]$$
  
=  $\frac{-uv(-v+vu^2-u+v^2u)}{(1+v^2)^2(1+u^2)^2}.$  (27)

From equations (26) and (27) we have

$$S_2[(f * *g)(t, x); (u, v)] = uvF(v, u)G(v, u).$$

Now, we are going back to apply the double Sumudu transform for the wave equation that is given in example1 as follows

$$F_{tt} - F_{xx} = e^{x+t} * *xt^{2} + e^{x+t} * *x^{2}t^{3} \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}$$

$$F(x,0) = xe^{x}, F_{t}(x,0) = xe^{x} + e^{x}$$

$$F(0,t) = te^{t}, F_{x}(0,t) = te^{t} + e^{t}.$$
(26)

By taking the double Sumudu transform wave equation and single Sumudu transform of equation for the conditions, we obtain

$$F(u,v) = \frac{v^{3}u^{2}}{u^{2}(v-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} + \frac{v^{2}u^{2}}{u(v-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} - \frac{v^{2}u^{3}}{v^{2}(u-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} - \frac{v^{2}u^{2}}{v(u-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} + \frac{2v^{5}u^{4}}{(1-u)(1-v)(u^{2}-v^{2})} + \frac{12v^{6}u^{5}}{(1-u)(1-v)(u^{2}-v^{2})}.$$
(27)

In order to find the inverse double Sumudu transform of equation (27), we use the next theorem.

**Theorem 3**: Let G(u) be the Sumudu transform of f(t) such that

(i)  $\frac{G(\frac{1}{s})}{s}$  is a meromorphic function, with singularities having Re(s) <  $\gamma$ , and

(ii) there exists a circular region  $\Gamma$  with radius *R* and positive constants, *M* and *K*, with

$$\left|\frac{G(\frac{1}{s})}{s}\right| < MR^{-K},$$

then the function f(t) is given by

$$S^{-1}(G(s)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} e^{st} G\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \frac{ds}{s} = \sum \operatorname{residual}\left[e^{st} \frac{G(\frac{1}{s})}{s}\right].$$

For the proof see (Watugala, 1993).

Now, by taking double inverse Sumudu transform for both sides of equation (27) we obtain the solution of equation (26) as follows

$$F(x,t) = 26 + \frac{13}{3}t^3 + 7e^{t+x}t - 14e^tx + 7e^xt^2 + 26e^xt + \frac{5}{2}e^{-t+x} + 28e^x + 14xt$$
  
$$-26e^t + 26t + 14x + 7xt^2 - 6e^tx^2 + \frac{1}{60}t^6 + 3x^2t^2 + \frac{13}{12}t^4 + \frac{7}{12}t^4x$$
  
$$+6x^2 + 13t^2 + \frac{1}{5}t^5 + \frac{1}{420}t^7 + \frac{7}{3}t^3x + \frac{1}{20}t^5x^2 + 6x^2t - \frac{61}{2}e^{t+x}$$
  
$$+\frac{1}{10}t^5x + \frac{1}{4}t^4x^2 + 2e^xt^3 + x^2t^3.$$

Now, we multiply the left hand side equation of (26) by non-constant coefficient  $x^3t^4 **$  where the symbol \*\* means a double convolution with respect to *x* and *t* respectively, then equation (26) becomes

Hassan Eltayeb & Adem Kilicman

$$x^{3}t^{4} * *(F_{tt} - F_{xx}) = e^{x+t} * xt^{2} + e^{x+t} * x^{2}t^{3} \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}$$

$$F(x,0) = xe^{x}, F_{t}(x,0) = xe^{x} + e^{x}$$

$$F(0,t) = te^{t}, F_{x}(0,t) = te^{t} + e^{t}.$$
(28)

Similarly, we apply the double Sumudu transform technique and single Sumudu transform for equation (28) to obtain

$$F(u,v) = \frac{v^{3}u^{2}}{u^{2}(v-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} + \frac{v^{2}u^{2}}{u(v-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} - \frac{v^{2}u^{3}}{v^{2}(u-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} - \frac{v^{2}u^{2}}{v(u-1)^{2}(u^{2}-v^{2})} + \frac{v^{2}u^{2}}{72(1-u)(1-v)(u^{2}-v^{2})} + \frac{v^{2}u^{2}}{12(1-u)(1-v)(u^{2}-v^{2})}.$$
(29)

Now, by taking double inverse Sumudu transform for both sides of equation (29) we obtain the solution of equation (28) as follows

$$F_1(x,t) = -\frac{5}{288}e^{t+x} + \frac{5}{288}e^{-t+x} + \frac{7}{144}e^{t+x}t$$

## CONCLUSION

Thus we note that the wave equation in one dimensional with the non-constant coefficients (polynomials), under the initial conditions, give similar results when we use the double Laplace and double Sumudu transforms.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was partially supported by University Putra Malaysia under the Research University Grant Scheme 05-01-09-0720RU. The authors also express their thanks to the referee(s) for very constructive comments and valuable suggestions to improve the quality of the paper. On Double Sumudu Transform and Double Laplace Transform

### REFERENCES

- Babakhani, A. and Dahiya, R. S. 2001. Systems of Multi-Dimensional Laplace Transform and Heat Equation, 16th Conf. on Appl. Maths., Univ. of Central Oklahoma, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, p. 25-36.
- Brychkov, Y. A., Glaeske, H. J., Prudnikov, A. P. and Tuan, V. K. 1992. *Multidimensional Integral Transformations*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers.
- Constanda, C. 2002. Solution Techniques for Elementary Partial Differential Equations, New York.
- Duffy, D. G. 2004. Transform Methods for Solving Partial Differential Equations, CRC.
- Eltayeb, H. and Kiliçman, A. 2008. A Note on Solution of Wave, Laplace's and Heat Equations with Convolution Terms by Using Double Laplace Transform, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21**:1324-1329.
- Estrin, T. A. and Higgins, T. J. 1951. The Solution of Boundary Value Problems by Multiple Laplace Transformation. J. Franklin Inst. 252: 153–167.
- Kilicman, A. and H. E. Gadain, 2009. An Application of Double Laplace Transform and Double Sumudu Transform, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 2009, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 214--223.
- Kiliçman, A. and Eltayeb, H. 2009. On the Second Order Linear Partial Differential Equations with Variable Coefficients and Double Laplace Transform. Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences. Volume 34(2), pp. 257--270.
- Kiliçman, A. and Eltayeb, H. 2007. A note on the classifications of hyperbolic and elliptic equations with polynomial coefficients, *Appl. Math. Lett*, **21**:1124-1128.
- Lamb, G. L. Jr. 1995. Introductory Applications of Partial Differential Equations with Emphasis on Wave Propagation and Diffusion, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

- Myint, U. T. 1980. Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics, New York.
- Tchuenche, Jean M. and Nyimvua, S. Mbare. 2007. An Application of the double Sumudu Transform. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, **1**(1): 31-39.
- Watugala, G.K. 1993. Sumudu Transform- an new integral transform to solve differential equations and control engineering problem. *Int. J. Math. Educ.Sci. Technol*, 24: 35-42.